

While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the contents of this site, users should be aware that due to circumstances beyond our control, it may be necessary to change the text of documents posted here and therefore no responsibility will be accepted by the Toronto Transit Commission for discrepancies which may occur between documents contained on this site and the formal hardcopy versions presented to the Commission.

If it is necessary to rely on the accuracy of Commission documents the Office of the General Secretary should be contacted at 393-3698 to obtain a certified copy. ONLY **HARDCOPY RECORDS CERTIFIED BY THE GENERAL SECRETARY WILL BE DEEMED TO BE OFFICIAL.**

Form Revised: February 2005

**TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION
REPORT NO.**

MEETING DATE: August 30, 2006

SUBJECT: PROCUREMENT AUTHORIZATION Installation Of CCTV Infrastructure In Various Stations CONTRACT G60-164

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Commission authorize acceptance of the proposal submitted by Hart-Well Electrical Co. Ltd. and award of a contract in the upset limit amount of \$1,200,000.00 for Contract G60-164, Installation of CCTV Infrastructure in Various Stations.

FUNDING

Funding for the installation of security cameras was included in Project 2.3 Communications, under System Security/Safety Upgrades as set out on Pages 247 – 250, Category State of Good Repair/Safety of the 2006-2010 TTC Capital Program, as approved by City Council on December 12, 2005. However, the funds provided were insufficient by \$3,670,000 to cover all of the anticipated expenditures, and these increased funds will be requested in the proposed 2007-2011 TTC Capital Program submission. This project has also been accelerated, which will result in an over expenditure in 2006 of \$1,525,000, and efforts will be made to accommodate these additional requirements within the approved 2006 budget allocation.

For information, it is noted that subject to the formal application process and selection by the Federal Government of certain TTC projects, including System Security/Safety Upgrades, it is anticipated that the City of Toronto/TTC will receive funding under the Trans Secure Program for various transit security initiatives following the execution of the Agreement, noting that no one project will receive more than \$10.0 M in federal funding. This Agreement will be for work that will be completed by March 2008.

BACKGROUND

The Work under Project 2.3, System Security/Safety Upgrades, includes the installation of CCTV security cameras in all subway stations. The Work scope includes the supply and installation of camera housings, conduit and fibre optic cable. Similar work is currently being performed by TTC resources, who will also install the camera/recording equipment at the stations to be completed under Contract G60-

164.

As each subway station is different, the Work to be performed at each location will also differ, including the number of cameras, conduit routings, etc. In order to proceed with this Work as quickly as possible, through consultation with staff after Contract award, the Contractor will submit confirmation of Work scope, costing and Work schedule for a designated station and upon approval, a Work Assignment Release will be issued authorizing the Work for that station. For the purpose of proposal evaluation, unit quantities for a sample station were provided for Proponents to submit Unit Prices and to calculate a Total Evaluation Price for that station. A total Upset Limit Price for 25 stations, expected to be completed under this Contract, was then calculated by staff, including a 20% contingency allowance to cover unforeseen job conditions and items not covered by Unit Prices.

DISCUSSION

Specifications and sample drawings were prepared for Contract G60-164 and a Request for Proposals was posted on M&P's Web site as of June 19, 2006. Fifteen companies requested copies of the proposal documents with three submitting proposals, as summarized in Appendix A.

Black & McDonald Limited submitted the lowest priced proposal and did not state any exceptions or qualifications. However, they did not provide Document 00422 — List of Similar Contracts Completed, which was a mandatory requirement of the Proposal submission documents and is therefore considered unacceptable. As well, the Document 00322 - Labour Rates schedule was partially completed and therefore also considered unacceptable. Their proposal is considered unacceptable.

Hart-Well Electrical Co. Ltd. submitted the second lowest priced proposal and also did not state any exceptions or qualifications. As they have not previously worked for the Commission, reference checks were completed which indicate that they have satisfactorily performed work of a similar size and nature in the past. Their proposal is considered acceptable.

Bell Technical Solutions Inc. submitted the highest priced proposal and also did not state any exceptions or qualifications. Corrections were made to a number of items to correct the mathematical extension of a proposal quantity and a tendered unit price. This resulted in revision to the Total Evaluated Price, but did not change the position of the bid. As they have not previously worked for the Commission, reference checks were completed which revealed that they had not installed the conduit work for the three project references presented, which was a requirement in the proposal submission. Their proposal is therefore considered unacceptable.

There were no Alternatives offered by any of the Proponents.

The proposal submitted by Hart-Well Electrical Co. Ltd. is recommended for acceptance.

JUSTIFICATION

The proposal submitted by Hart-Well Electrical Co. Ltd. is the only acceptable proposal.

August 8, 2006
50-21-40

1094050

Attachment – Appendix A

